

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/335,377	06/17/1999	JOHN R. PLATE	02900.00004/	6413	
24998 7	590 06/05/2002				
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER		
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		CULBRETH, ERIC D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
' Advisory Action	09/335,377	PLATE ET AL.				
e'	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric D Culbreth	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 May 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>New Matter Objection</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered and an low or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-19,22-33</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 20 and 21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- 0 11 W				
10. Other:		Enclubth				
·		Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3616				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: When Laverda's system reaches maximum safety (column 3, lines 41-51), valve 14 goes to its rest position, which in Figure 2 blocks hydraulic lines 15 and 16 and hence locks the axle. The motivation for the combination of references was addressed in the Final Office Action.